2002 Recycled Water Task Force FUNDING/CALFED Coordination Subgroup White Paper November 12, 2002

Introduction

The 2002 Recycled Water Task Force, established by Assembly Bill 331 (Goldberg), was passed by the Legislature and approved by Governor Davis on October 7, 2001 (Water Code Section 13578). The Task Force is a cooperative effort of the California Department of Water Resources, the State Water Resources Control Board, and the Department of Health Services. The Task Force is charged with evaluating the current regulatory framework and to identify the opportunities, obstacles and disincentives to the safe use of recycled water. The recommendations of the Task Force must be reported to Legislature by July 1, 2003.

Organized and administered by the Department of Water Resources, the Task Force is chaired by Richard Katz, a member of the State Water Resources Control Board. The Task Force is composed of water recycling policy makers, including experts on the safe and beneficial uses of recycled water. Additional participants include federal, State and local government representatives, community participants, and environmental, industrial, and academic representatives. The Task Force established the following six workgroups to perform in-depth evaluations of specified issues listed in Appendix A.

- Science & Health / Indirect Potable Reuse,
- Public Education and Outreach,
- Plumbing Code / Cross-Connection Control,
- Funding / CALFED Coordination,
- Regulations & Permitting and
- Economics

This white paper is the product of the Funding/CALFED Coordination Workgroup charged with examining funding issues and making recommendations for improving funding opportunities for the public water recycling projects. This is in accordance with Section 1 (b) (5) of AB 331 that states:

The need to augment state water supplies using water use efficiency strategies identified in the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. In its report pursuant to subdivision (a) the department shall identify ways to coordinate with CALFED to assist local communities in educating the public with regard to the statewide water supply benefits of local recycling projects and the level of public health protection ensured by compliance with uniform statewide water recycling criteria developed by the State Department of Health Services in accordance with Section 13521.

Water recycling is a major part of the CALFED Programmatic Record of Decision, August 28, 2000. CALFED implementation agencies that participate in the funding of water recycling projects currently forecast the amount of funding their programs receive from proposed legislation. These agencies include the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), Department of Water Resources (DWR), and the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR). Thus, the Funding/CALFED Coordination workgroup in its deliberation and evaluation among other issues, considered the following items:

- i. CALFED Programmatic Record of Decision Implementation Plan.
- ii. Recommendations of the Southern California Comprehensive Water Reclamation and Reuse Study.
- iii. Recommendations of northern California water and wastewater agencies prepared by the San Francisco Bay and Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta area water recycling program.
- iv. Case studies and other efforts that have been or are being conducted to further advance the safe use of recycled water in California and elsewhere.

The Funding/CALFED Coordination Subgroup is distinct from the Economics Workgroup in that the former is focused on the process to provide funding for water recycling projects. The later, meanwhile, addresses the economics issues related to performing cost and benefit analysis for water recycling projects. It is anticipated that the cost/benefit information developed will be useful in refining the funding process recommendations.

The Role of CALFED in Statewide Water Recycling Efforts

The CALFED Bay-Delta Program is a cooperative effort of the State and federal agencies with management or regulatory responsibilities for the Bay-Delta. The Water Use Efficiency (WUE) Program is an integral part of the CALFED initiative. The program is dedicated to accelerating the implementation of cost-effective actions to conserve and recycle water throughout the state. A key strategy – articulated in CALFED's, August 2000, Record of Decision (ROD) - is to implement an incentive-based program that provides grants for actions contributing to CALFED objectives but are not locally cost-effective. Consistent with the Record of Decision, CALFED's strategy in coordinating water recycling grants and loans is to validate cost and performance of recycling projects, and to ensure that projects are selected in an open and scientifically credible process.

Local Role in Funding of Water Recycling Projects

Local agencies are key in the funding and development of water recycling projects. In addition to the operating agency's contribution, local funding provides Pay-for-Performance incentives. Examples of successful Pay-for-Performance programs, that provide agencies a financial incentive to build projects, include the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California's Local Resources Program and the San Diego County Water Authority's Reclaimed Water Development Fund. In order for the Task Force to make recommendations for improvements to

funding and CALFED coordination, it will be necessary to understand the role local agencies play.

The Subcommittee agrees on the importance of acquiring data on the current status of local funding contributions to water recycling projects. The attached matrix in, Appendix B, has been developed as an example of the way that information on local, state, and federal funding sources and their associated amounts can be identified and tracked. The matrix, or a similar tracking tool, should be expanded to incorporate data on as many water recycling projects in California as possible. Based on an analysis of the data contained in the tracking tool, general observations concerning current funding trends can then be developed to determine the State and federal financial support needed to further implement and encourage water recycling projects.

Recycled water marketing efforts to inform policy makers, constituents, and potential users of the benefits related to recycled water supplies are key to securing local funding and support for development of projects. This effort should include consideration of all future sources of water (local, imported, recycled, desalinization, and conserved water), and the important role recycled water serves in the augmentation of the State's water supply.

It is recommended that an assessment of the current water recycling marketing efforts in the State be undertaken. First, the California Division of the WateReuse Association would be asked to undertake a marketing status survey of its membership. Additionally, the SWRCB and the DWR would survey their local agency contacts on the same topic. Based on the information gathered, trends in marketing successes and difficulties encountered could be compiled and shared with other agencies.

Local agencies must also prioritize the funding and development of their water recycling projects. A comprehensive review of factors included in this process must be undertaken. Urban Water Management Plans, as well as Integrated Resource Plans, are tools commonly used by water agencies to set priorities for the identification of future water resource supply options.

These plans look at projected population growth and the need to serve new areas, as well as the types of water demand (i.e. irrigation, agricultural, commercial or industrial) to be met. Finally, the plans evaluate the various water resource options against established planning objectives including, but not limited to, ensuring (1) supply reliability, (2) supply diversity, (3) acceptable water quality, (4) minimized cost impacts, (5) maximized supply adaptability, and (6) protection of the natural environment, while ensuring community benefits.

Wastewater agencies may consider water recycling projects as an alternative method of reducing wastewater effluent discharges, providing water for the natural habitat, or decreasing pollutant loading in a water body. In conclusion, water recycling projects are prioritized locally based on a combination of water supply and wastewater diversion planning tools.

The Role of Regional Recycling Studies

Regional recycling studies are one proven method of prioritizing funding for the identification and development of implementable water recycling projects in California. Two very large scale regional recycling plans have been completed in the State, the Southern California

Comprehensive Water Reclamation and Reuse Study and the Bay Area Regional Water Recycling Program. These regional investigations are partnerships of local, State and federal agencies dedicated to building consensus regarding the feasible use of recycled water in their respective areas. In addition to these very large scale plans, smaller regional plans such as the San Diego County Water Authority Regional Recycled Water System Study and the South Bay Water Recycling Long Term Master Plan have been developed.

The Southern California Comprehensive Water Reclamation and Reuse Study and the Bay Area Regional Water Recycling Programs were developed in partnership with the State and federal agencies and have already involved a significant amount of consensus in prioritizing projects. All of these regional plans should be recognized as key information in obtaining State and federal funding. Funding agencies should use these plans as guidance for allocating funds for water recycling projects throughout the State.

State and Federal Role in the Funding of Water Recycling Projects

Various State and federal agencies within California administer funding programs to provide financial assistance for public water recycling projects. Typically, local agencies apply for funding for such projects from programs administered by, the SWRCB, the DWR, and the USBR. The SWRCB and DWR funding programs operate within the State CALFED funding umbrella. The federal funds appropriated to the USBR are administered for projects throughout the state. They are not federal appropriated funds from within the CALFED agency. Currently, USBR recycling and reuse funds are appropriated under the authority of the Reclamation Wastewater and Groundwater Study and Facilities Act of 1992 (Title XVI of Public Law 102-575 as amended). Additionally, funding from the United States, Corps of Engineers has been appropriated on a project basis for specific use in Southern California.

The Subcommittee has identified an absence of coordination among State and federal funding sources for water recycling efforts. The Subcommittee examined the characteristics of the three major funding programs and recommends coordinating efforts among the programs to assist local agencies in acquiring State and federal funds for local projects.

Each State and federal funding programs has a different application process and no requirements exist for the agencies to coordinate their funding efforts. Having such variation in funding is beneficial if the different processes results in more funding for water recycling, thereby serving the different water recycling projects statewide. However, the varying processes can be cumbersome to local applicants seeking funding from multiple sources. The following sections describe the State and federal funding programs available to local agencies.

I. State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Program

Through its Office of Water Recycling, the SWRCB administers a continuous application process that commits funding to applicants for planning, design and construction of water recycling projects on a "first-ready, first-served" basis. Funding is available for applicants with projects that increase (augment) the State and/or local water supply through the

increased use of water recycling. A funding priority list including all potential recycled water projects is maintained.

A continuous funding application process is beneficial for water recycling projects that include a critical timing element. Examples include:

- (1) Regional Water Quality Control Board and/or Department of Health Services mandated deadline
- (2) Established users currently in need of recycled water
- (3) Urgency to make additional potable water available by augmenting the water supply with recycled water (i.e. development, drought years, etc), and
- (4) Construction of facilities with other concurrent capital improvement projects

Additionally, the SWRCB offers grant funding for facility planning studies. The local agency must equally match planning grant funds with a local funding match.

Activities that are eligible for facility planning grant funds include planning efforts to determine:

- (1) Preliminary project construction, operation and maintenance costs
- (2) Proposed revenue program needed to support the estimated project costs
- (3) Recycled water users and demands
- (4) Preliminary agreements or mandatory use ordinances necessary to assure recycled water usage, and
- (5) Draft environmental documents in accordance with State and federal environmental laws.

Upon completion of a final project report including the information gathered during the planning stage of the project, the SWRCB offers design and construction funding to applicants ready to proceed with the development of construction contract documents, and then construction. Funding is released to the funding recipient on a construction reimbursement basis.

II. Department of Water Resources Program

In contrast to the SWRCB's continuous application process, the DWR offers a competitive funding process. Upon appropriation of funding, the DWR issues a Request-For-Proposal (RFP). Applicants interested in funding must submit the required information by an announced deadline. Proposals are evaluated and funding recipients identified.

Projects are rated based on four primary criteria:

- (1) Costs and benefits
- (2) Technical/scientific merit
- (3) Oualifications of the applicants and cooperators, and
- (4) Outreach, community involvement and acceptance.

The benefits derived from a competitive process are not necessarily to the projects, but to the overall statewide recycling efforts. The competition established in an RFP process improves the quality of projects funded. By comparing various proposals, the process allows for projects with the greatest benefit to be selected (i.e. the lowest cost to the State per acrefeet/year of recycled water).

The DWR recognizes that water recycling is emerging as a major component of water resources management. The DWR, through its Water Recycling and Desalination Branch, provides technical assistance through statewide coordination, partnerships, regional studies and planning, and data collection to include the following objectives:

- Provide technical, biophysical and engineering-oriented knowledge on water recycling and desalination issues
- Support, promote, and provide outlets for scientific research on water recycling production and use
- Inform policy makers, legislators, and regulators of water recycling opportunities and impediments
- Increase the public awareness, image, and credibility of water recycling projects
- Play an integral part of CALFED's Water Use Efficiency Common Program, and
- Help locals with information in water recycling planning process.

III. United States Bureau of Reclamation: Title XVI Designated Funding Program

A USBR water recycling project is defined as a project that reclaims and reuses municipal, industrial, domestic, or agricultural wastewater, or naturally impaired groundwater and/or surface waters. Project water can be used for a variety of purposes including environmental restoration, fish and wildlife, groundwater recharge, municipal, domestic, industrial, agricultural, power generation or recreation. The USBR provides funding for both the planning and construction of recycling and reuse projects. Planning funds may be made available for either appraisal or feasibility level study efforts. The local sponsor can, by itself or in cooperation with USBR, initiate the planning of a Title XVI project. The USBR may cost share in these efforts, subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

Federal construction funds are provided only for projects specifically authorized by Congress pursuant to the various sections of Title XVI of Public Law 102-575, as amended. Typically, the USBR makes a funding recommendation on construction of authorized projects in the President's annual budget request to the Congress. Projects not yet authorized for construction will require specific congressional authorization before USBR requests appropriated funds from Congress through the Title XVI program.

Projects funded by Title XVI must meet the following requirements prior to receiving federal funding from USBR:

- (1) A feasibility report that complies with the provisions of Title XVI must be completed by USBR or the non-federal project sponsor,
- (2) The Secretary has determined that the non-federal project sponsor is financially capable of funding its share of the project's costs; and

(3) The Secretary has approved a cost-sharing agreement with the non-Federal project sponsor which commits the non-Federal project sponsor to funding its proportionate share of the project's construction costs on an annual basis.

In addition, the project must be in compliance with NEPA and other environmental laws acquire construction funding. After the requirements above have been met, both the USBR and the funding recipient sign an agreement. Funds are typically disbursed during construction on a reimbursement basis.

Once funding is identified for a project in the Title XVI Program, the local agency project may also be eligible for funding from other federal sources including CALFED funding. The example here is that if the Title XVI project is identified to receive \$15.0 million and only \$5.0 million has been appropriated through the Title XVI appropriation, the remaining \$10.0 million could be made available through CALFED/Federal funding as long as the total federal share of the project does not exceed 25 per cent of the total project cost or \$20.0 million which ever is lower as referenced in Section 1631(d). However, non-Title XVI projects can not receive federal funding from appropriated Title XVI funds. The procedures followed by the USBR in carrying out the provisions of the Title XVI program are provided for in the authorizing legislation.

Recommendations

Greater water recycling benefits can be achieved with coordination among agencies that serve as funding sources for water recycling research, studies, and projects. There are many direct and indirect benefits from water recycling projects. To ascertain all the benefits, such as, monetary savings, yield (Ac-Ft), and potable water savings that result from each project, more information is required. Proper coordination can assist local agency efforts in finding the correct balance of funding to meet specific project financial needs. To achieve coordination among funding sources, the Subcommittee recommends the following:

- 1. A revised funding procedure shall be developed to provide local agencies with assistance in potential State and federal funding opportunities. The SWRCB will facilitate the establishment of a Committee to implement the recommendations of this report. Assistance and guidance will be provided to such agencies as follows:
 - A. The SWRCB will facilitate a newly established Water Recycling Funding Coordination Committee (Committee) to coordinate applicant funding needs with the appropriate funding agencies. The members of the Committee will include representatives from the SWRCB, DWR, USBR, CALFED, the California WateReuse Association and other stakeholders.

In reviewing and developing recommendations to provide the technical assistance/support and the funding solicitation process, the Committee will solicit input from local agencies that have received state and federal funding for recycled water projects. The Committee will guide the local agency through the identification of:

- (1) Correct funding source(s),
- (2) Accountability measures to be placed on the funding recipient to assure delivery of recycled water, and
- (3) Monitoring and assessment reporting requirements to be implemented after completion of the funded project.
- B. The Committee will establish quantifiable objectives to be used in the review of a proposed project. Objectives shall include: 1) the local, regional, and state benefits, and; 2) non-water supply benefits, resulting from the project. When reviewing proposed projects, the Committee will recommend modifications to maximize the benefit to the State's water supply.
- C. The Committee will work cooperatively with the SWRCB and USBR, streamlining project selection while ensuring an open and transparent process for setting selection criteria, peer review and public review of the project selection will also be provided. The Committee will work to ensure that projects have an appropriate level of scientific review, and ongoing monitoring and data analysis.
- D. The Committee shall maintain a listing of State and federally funded projects. This list should build upon the preliminary list provided in Appendix C. The list of projects should include detailed project cost and water supply yield information including annual cost and yield, similar to the detail provided in Appendix B. The list should also, to the extent possible, include locally funded projects.
- 2. State funding agencies will use information from completed regional studies when determining the prioritization of funding requests.
- 3. Public information to support education and outreach efforts will be provided by having funding agencies:
 - A. Present public funding availability at statewide conferences, and
 - B. Establishing an Annual Water Recycling Funding Information Workshop to assist participants in preparing funding application packages
- 4. The SWRCB and USBR should be provided with the resources to perform comprehensive analysis of past recycling performance (costs and benefits) and projection of future performance. The SWRCB and USBR should conduct these analyses jointly in an open and peer-reviewed process.

These analyses should quantify recycling water yield in acre-feet per year and delineate yield from potential or planned. The analyses should list other benefits of recycling (such as water supply reliability), and where possible to quantify these benefits. The analyses should provide costs in equivalent units such as equivalent annual cost.

The estimate of past performance should be based upon a database of recycling projects including projects that have received State and federal funding and attempt to list other projects as well. The database should include the level of detail listed in Appendix B.

5.	Funding sources should be expanded to include sustainable State funding for the DWR's water recycling, technical assistance and research, including flexibility to work on local and regional planning process, on-going studies of emerging issues, and new technology.

Appendix A

Appendix A

The 2002 Recycled Water Task Force created six (6) Workgroups; 1) Science and Health / Indirect Potable Reuse, 2) Public Education and Outreach, 3) Plumbing Code / Cross Connection Control, 4) Funding / CALFED Coordination, 5) Regulations and Permitting and, 6) Economics, to address, evaluate, analyze, filter and prioritize specific issues and determine underlying issues if any. This list of issues is provided below by workgroup.

1. Science and Health / Indirect Potable Reuse

- Groundwater recharge and contamination
- Surface water augmentation
- Applied research on wastewater reuse by academic institutions
- Pharmaceutical and trace elements
- Construction, design, operation and maintenance
- Testing and certification to insure safe use of recycled water
- Epidemiological studies update to provide current assessment of the science regarding public health and water resuse
- The need to reconvene the indirect potable reuse committee

2. Public Education and Outreach

- Determine current public perceptions and acceptance of water recycling
- Provide a consistently high funding for public education programs
- Additional testing and certification to ensure the safe use of recycled water
- Update epidemiological studies and provide an overall current assessment of the science regarding public health and the use of recycled water
- Address social equity in the distribution of recycled water
- Educate farmers and growers who are often reluctant to use recycled water as allowed in Title 22
- Review "best management practices" for recycled water
- Consider demographic issues and audience analysis for outreach

3. Plumbing Code / Cross Connection Control

- Impracticality for installing backflow devices in old buildings
- Shutdowns for pressure testing for cross connection
- Plumbing code "Tertiary" definition conflicts with Title 22
- Spacing requirements in commercial buildings
- Recommend improvement to the Plumbing Code and to Titles 17 and 22

- Appendix J conflicts with the water code
- Include professionals knowledgeable in the domain to assist in developing regulations

4. Funding / CALFED Coordination

- Funding for recycling projects
- Grants for public education and outreach
- Funds for research addressing emerging health issues
- Coordination among recycled water regulators
- Complexity of State subsidy process
- Tax break and water credits
- Incentive for land developers to install dual systems
- Support for the surface and groundwater storage

5. Regulations and Permitting

- Incidental runoff violations of NPDES permits ("One-Molecule" rule)
- Drought waiver for discharge limits
- Regional brine lines for discharging brines
- Spill reporting, dewatering and discharge
- State regulation of recycled water as wastewater
- Satellite wastewater treatment plants
- Coordination with AB885 on-site wastewater treatment
- Conflicts with downstream beneficial uses
- Recycled water producers 'liability to users' violations
- Seasonal storage/support for surface and groundwater storage
- Costly repetitive engineering reports for each site
- Regulation of water softeners in regards to impact on recycled water quality
- Regulatory updates in light of current epidemiological and scientific assessment
- Uses of action levels as a compliance mechanism

6. Economics

- Outline costs and benefits of water recycling and provide rigorous analysis of the true costs and benefits
- Economic justification of water recycling should be based on comparison with the cost of new sources of water, not existing sources

- The costs of recycling projects are often borne locally even though the benefits of water reuse often accrue statewide
- Clarify the economic criteria for the state funding of water recycling projects
- Consider the positive environmental impacts of water recycling upstream since recycling alleviates the demand for freshwater from stream and lakes
- Consider the benefits of recycling in complying with water quality requirements for discharges into receiving waters
- Consider the negative environmental impact of recycling on receiving waters due to reducing discharge for the purpose of reuse
- Anti-growth proponents may view recycled water as a supplemental source to fuel growth
- Costly repetitive Engineering reports needed for each site
- Costs related to dewatering and discharge. Must dewater to sewer, can't dewater to creeks
- Costs related to cross-connection program
- Costs related to spill reporting
- Need for seasonal storage facilities

	Sample of Water Recycling Projects (SDCWA and SFPUC Service Area	ıs - FY 2001-02)									
	Identifying Information										
Name	Description	Region	Туре								
	San Diego County Water Authority										
Fallbrook Public Utility District Water Reclamation Project	The project consists of teriary treatment, a new pump station, chlorine contact tank, and approx. 3.6 miles of 6-inch to 18-inch diameter pipeline. provides recycled water for irrigation.	Fallbroook PUD	Northern San Diego County	Recycling conveyance capabilities/ Tertiary Wastewater Treatment/ Recycled wtr. system improvements.							
Padre Dam Reclaimed Water System, Phase I	The project includes the upgrade of the existing 1 MGD Santee Water Reclamation Facility to produce 2 MGD of recycled water and the construction of a distribution system. The proposed project components include tertiary filters, wastewater recovery tank modifications, chlorine contact basins, chlorine storage/feed facility modifications, a recycled water storage reservior, and related valaves, appurtenances, and ancillary facilities.	Padre Dam Municipal Water District	East San Diego County	Recycling conveyance capabilities/ Tertiary Wastewater Treatment/ Recycled wtr. system improvements.							
North City Water Reclamation Project	The project consists of a 30 MGD capacity treatment plant and one 9 MG storage tank along with a 46 mile recycled water distribution system. Project was originally intended to provide water repurification (sending water to nearby reservoir).	City of San Diego	San Diego County	Recycling conveyance capabilities/ Tertiary Wastewater Treatment/ Recycled wtr. system improvements.							
San Pasqual Water Reclamation Project, Phase I	This project consisted of a 1.1 MGD water reclamation plant consisting of a rotary drum screen and rotary disk filters for primary treatment, water hyacnths for secondary treatment, and coagulation/sedimenration/filtration for tertiary treatment. Other project components consisted of an above ground storage facility, a recycled water distribution system, and injection/extraction wells for storage in the Hodges Groundwater Basin.	City of San Diego	San Diego County	Recycling conveyance capabilities/ Secondary and Tertiary Wastewater Treatment/ Recycled wtr. system improvements/ injection-extraction wells.							
San Elijo Water Reclamation System	The project includes the construction of a tertiary treatment facility, 2 storage reservoirs, 3 pump stations, and a distribution system. End-users are located predominately in the cities of Encinitas, Solana Beach, and Del Mar.	San Elijo JPA	Northern San Diego County	Recycling conveyance capabilities/ Tertiary Wastewater Treatment/ Recycled wtr. system improvements.							
	San Francisco Public Utility Commission	L	I								
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	First Step Recycled Water Project	South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	San Francisco Bay Region	tertiary wastewater treatment plant							
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	Next Step Recycled Water Project	South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	San Francisco Bay Region	tertiary wastewater treatment plant							
Patrick Sweetland	Tertiary Recycled Water Project	Daly City	SF Bay								

		Sample of Water Recycling Projects (SDCWA and S	SFPUC Service Areas - F	Y 2001-02)								
		Status		Project Funding Sources								
Name		Otatus			Ourner A		all Local Agencies)	Wholesaler	Wholesaler			
	Code*	Detail	Owner Committed Operating Revenue	Annual Bond Payment (P&I)	Annual Debt Service (P&I)	gency (\$) Other Local Funding	Total Local Funding	Incentives - MWD (\$)	Incentives - SDCWA (\$)			
		San Diego County Water Authority	<u> </u>		•				• •			
Fallbrook Public Utility District Water Reclamation Project	0	Project operational	\$275,695				\$275,695	\$107,750	\$43,100			
Padre Dam Reclaimed Water System, Phase I	0	Project operational	\$1,640,436	\$0	\$889,747	\$25,446	\$2,555,629	\$157,175	\$62,870			
North City Water Reclamation Project	0	Project operational		Annual Bond Payment - 2,421,670		Annual Cash Cost - \$2,230,409	1	\$765,600	\$306,240			
San Pasqual Water Reclamation Project, Phase I	I	Feasibility study currently underway proposing the retooling of the plant to a conventional R/O system. Temporarily Shutdown. Plant closed in December 2001.				Annual Cash Cost - \$522,038		\$24,200	\$9,680			
San Elijo Water Reclamation System	0	Project operational					\$1,395,113	\$173,950	\$69,580			
		San Francisco Public Utility Commission				II.	<u>l</u>	l l				
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	0	The First Step Project, the first phase of the City's Recycled Water Project, was implemented as a pilot program in 2000. Existing treatment facilities and distribution infrastructure were utilized with minor modifications. Recycled water was delivered at no cost to customers during the 2000 and 2001irrigation seasons.	City of Redwood City	none	none	none	Funded by SBSA's Stage 2 expansion program.	\$0 cost to customers	none			
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	Р	The Next Step Project involves the design and construction of permanent recycled water treatment facilities at SBSA and new distribution infrastructure.		none	none	none	Currently funded by City of Redwood City. The City is exploring additional sources of funding.	under development	none			
Patrick Sweetland	D	Bids Opened 10/14	\$ 14,255,647	1,324,000	631,250	1,000,000	Fees/Charges					
	<u> </u>	O Operational P Planning D Posign C Construction Linearistic	1			1						

^{*} Code: O-Operational, P-Planning, D-Design, C-Construction, I-Inoperational

		Sample of Water F	Recycling Proj	ects (SDCWA an	d SFPUC Serv	vice Areas - FY 2	2001-02)							
		Project	Funding Source	s		Recycled Water Demand								
Name		State \$				Planned Max-	V :0 15 1/5V		F	D. I				
	Grants	Annual State Loan Payment (P&I)	Interest Rate/ Term	Federal \$	Federal \$ Total Annual Funding		Verified Demand (FY 2002) (AF)	Average Verified Demand (AF/Y)	Delivery Date	Delivered (# of Years)				
		San Diego County	Water Author	ity										
Fallbrook Public Utility District Water Reclamation Project		\$79,408			\$505,953	1200	431	628	Oct. 1989	12.25				
Padre Dam Reclaimed Water System, Phase I	\$0	\$0	2.8% & 3.1%			850	674	Unknown	Mar-98	5				
North City Water Reclamation Project		None	N/A	Federal Bureau of Reclamation Grants - \$17,338,975		17500	3,062	2,466 AFY (average of past three FY demands)	Sep-97	5				
San Pasqual Water Reclamation Project, Phase I		None	N/A	Federal Bureau of Reclamation Grants - \$2,555,693		1100	93 - partial year	249	Oct. 94	7.25				
San Elijo Water Reclamation System		\$12,633,522	20year - 2.5%	\$4,154,250	\$18,426,415	1600	696	452	Sept. 2000	2				
		San Francisco Pul	blic Utility Cor	nmission	I		I		ı	1				
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	none		,			139	56.41	50.51	Jun-02					
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City	none					1154	N/A	N/A	April-04					
Patrick Sweetland	\$1,440,000 (est)	TBD	2.9%/20		TBD	2,136 (est)		na	Jan, 2004	50				

		Sample of Ma	ntor Popuelin	g Projects (SDCW	A and SEDUC S	Corvino Arcon	EV 2004 02\
		Sample of Wa		g Projects (SDCW)			
Name	Capital Cost (\$)*1	Annual O & M Costs (\$/Yr)	Other Costs (\$)	Ave. Annual Cost (\$/AF/yr.) *2	Annual Costs (\$/AF/yr.)	Total Annual Revenue	Remarks
		San Diego Co	unty Water A	Authority			
Fallbrook Public Utility District Water Reclamation Project	\$135,600	\$333,806		\$531	\$747	\$426,545	Cumulative Demand 4342.4AF
Padre Dam Reclaimed Water System, Phase I	\$186,704	\$1,372,374			\$2,588		
North City Water Reclamation Project	Total Capital Costs = \$67,360,434	\$782,196		\$1,774			Captial costs, O&M costs, annual bond payments, and federal grant totals were taken from the MWD Audit Report completed on March 14, 2001. FY 02 totals were used for determining AFY demand and calculating annual revenue. Total Capitol Costs exclude grants and contributions; Annualized Capital Cost = 4,652,079
San Pasqual Water Reclamation Project, Phase I	Total Capital Costs = \$7,002,582	\$104,293		\$2,515			Capital costs, O&M costs, annual bond payments, and federal grant totals were taken from the MWD Audit Report completed on June 18, 2001. Average FY demand (249 AF) was used for determining average unit cost. San Pasqual Plant will be back online around 2006. Construction on the distribution system extension is expected to begin in 2007-08. Total Capital costs exclude grants and contributions; Annualized Capital Cost = 522,038
San Elijo Water Reclamation System	\$834,000	\$561,113		\$2,004		-\$926,384	State loan and federal grant funding totals are from project inception to date.
		<u> </u>					
				ty Commission			
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City		\$60,000	\$145,000	\$913	\$3,605	NA	
South Bayside System Authority (SBSA) and the City of Redwood City		N/A	\$275,000	NA	NA	NA	
Patrick Sweetland		na		na	967,610 (est)	375,460 (est)	
	*1:Capital Cost ©		woment Program	m/ Construction Costs)		l '	

^{*1:}Capital Cost \$ (i.e. Capital Improvement Program/ Constuction Costs)
*2. Current average annual unit cost

Notes:

Source of Information: This information was provided by the San Diego County Water

Authority (SDCWA) and San Francisco Public Utility

Commission (SFPUC). This list of projects is not comprehensive or representative, but is a readily available sample used to demonstrate the level of project detail that could be collected.

Sources: This summary includes five projects in the San Diego County

Water Authority and two projects in the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's jurisdiction. Many of the local funding sources are not given. Loans from state and federal agencies would be local costs and are not included in the data sheets provided. Some of the projects may be considered treatment projects as opposed to recycling projects. The verified demand includes only recycled water that SDCWA provides incentives for (or counts) and may be only for 2002. The average verified demand is the quantity received from the local agency. This number was calculated by the agency and not verified with SDCWA. Capital costs are in dollars and could not be verified for

total capital cost for the life of the project. Other costs were

included and not defined.

Summary: State and Federal Recycling Project Funding 1992 - Present

Water Recycling Costs & Benefits											
					Con-	Planned					
Info.	Project	F	Planning		struction	Yield					
Source			(\$)		(\$)	(AF/Yr)					
USBR	100% RW Injection to Seawater Intrusion Barriers	\$	100,000		Unknown	7840					
	Alamitos Barrier Recycled Water Project	\$	125,000		Unknown	5000					
	American Canyon, City of	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown					
USBR	Big Bear Recycled Water Plan	\$	403,600	Φ.	Unknown	500					
SWRCB USBR	Burbank, City of Calleguas MWD		Unknown Unknown		3,130,000 90,595,000	539 17890					
	Calleguas MWD	+	Unknown	_	63,635,000	17690					
USBR	Calleguas MWD		Unknown	_	20,025,000	20000					
USBR	Calleguas MWD		Unknown	_	9,103,000	4580					
	Calleguas MWD	1	Unknown		7,442,000	9000					
	Calleguas/United Brackish Water Treatment Facility	\$	50,000	Ť	Unknown	3000					
	Camrosa WD	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown					
USBR	Carlsbad MWD		Unknown	\$	36,925,000	7050					
SWRCB	Carlsbad MWD		Unknown	\$	2,330,000	1412					
	Carlsbad MWD (Grant)		Unknown	\$	5,000,000	3500					
	Carlsbad MWD (Loan)		Unknown	\$	19,000,000	Unknown					
USBR	Carson Area Expansion	\$	250,000		Unknown	23600					
USBR	Castaic Lake - Recycled Water System Master Plan Update	\$	72,435		Unknown	17000					
USBR	Central Basin Customer Development	\$	200,000		Unknown	2200					
USBR	Central Basin MWD		Unknown	\$	48,394,000	10000					
	Central Basin/West Basin Interconnector	\$	100,000	•	Unknown	250					
	Central Contra Costa SD (see also 1988 Law)		Unknown		1,810,000	413					
	Central Contra Costa SD (see also 96 Law)		Unknown	\$	1,110,000	Unknown					
USBR	Chandler Quarry Groundwater Recharge Project	\$	215,000	•	Unknown	2000					
	Chino Hills, City of	·	Unknown	Þ	400,000	400					
USBR USBR	City of Beaumont - Water Reclamation Project	\$	150,000 Unknown	¢	Unknown 67,923,000	2000 3200					
USBR	City of Escondido City of Murietta Recycled Water Conversion Projects		Unknown	_	100,000	620					
USBR	City of Oceanside		Unknown		9,070,000	3000					
USBR	City of Ontario Recycled Water Masterplan	\$	415,000	Ψ	Unknown	12000					
USBR	City of Pasadena	+	Unknown	\$	2,700,000	900					
USBR	City of Poway		Unknown	_	8,445,000	1300					
USBR	City of Poway		Unknown	_	3,710,500	1000					
USBR	City of San Diego		Unknown	\$	248,335,531	33000					
USBR	City of San Diego		Unknown	\$	97,393,591	7300					
USBR	City of San Diego		Unknown	\$	80,606,000	6700					
USBR	City of San Diego		Unknown	\$	4,862,435	0					
USBR	City of Simi Valley RW Distribution System	\$	387,000		Unknown	4700					
USBR	Coldwater Basin Recharge Project	\$	530,000		Unknown	7260					
USBR	Colorado Lagoon Storm Drain Filtration Project	\$	150,500		Unknown	160					
USBR	Contructed Treatment Wetlands for Stormwater Pollution	\$	250,000		Unknown	Unknown					
	Corona, City of		Unknown	\$	5,000,000	3200					
USBR	Crescenta Valley Water District Recycled Water Feasibility	1_									
011/5 05	Study	\$	45,000	Φ.	Unknown	5000					
SWRCB	Daly City, City of		Unknown	\$	1,400,000	400					
USBR	DeForest Park Natural Filtration Project	\$	194,000	•	Unknown	1200					
	DERWA (Grant)		Unknown		5,000,000	2300					
	DERWA (Loan)	· c	Unknown	Ф	19,000,000	Unknown					
USBR	Dominguez Gap RW Project EBMUD (East Bayshore)	\$	100,000 Unknown	Φ	Unknown 4,400,000	12000 800					
		¢	167,550	Φ	Unknown	200					
	El Dorado Lake Recycled Water Pilot Project El Toro Water District Intertie to the Irvine Desalter Project	\$	65,000		Unknown	Unknown					
	EMWD Recycled Water Masterplan	\$	555,000		Unknown	Unknown					
	Escondido, City of (see also SRF)	φ	Unknown	Φ.	12,000,000	3232					
USBR	Extraction Well Water Reuse Project	\$	75,000	φ	Unknown	700					
	Fallbrook PUD	\$	75,000	_	Unknown	Unknown					

Water Recycling Costs & Benefits										
Info. Source	Project		Planning (\$)	,	Con- struction (\$)	Planned Yield (AF/Yr)				
USBR	Feasibility Study of RW Use and Groundwater Recharge in		04.000		I Indonesia	000				
USBR	the Santa Paula Groundwater Basin	\$	64,838 50,000		Unknown Unknown	3361 1000				
	Gafner Brine Discharge Pipeline Project Green Acres Project Phase 2 - Huntington Beach Expansion	\$	60,000		Unknown	900				
USBR	Groundwater Recovery Enhancement and Treatment	Ψ	00,000		OTIKITOWIT	300				
002.1	(GREAT) Program	\$	242,300		Unknown	5000				
	GWR System - Phase I	\$	620,000		Unknown	78400				
	GWR System - Phase II and III	\$	2,210,000		Unknown					
USBR	Hansen Area Water Recycling Project	\$	730,000		Unknown					
	Harbor Water Recycling Project	\$	430,000		Unknown					
	Hemet/ San Jacinto Recycled Water Infrastructure Hi-Desert WD	\$	500,000 Unknown		Unknown Unknown					
USBR	Hyperion Pump Station Expansion	\$	200,000		Unknown	44800				
USBR	I-15 Recycled Water Transmission Main	Ψ	Unknown	\$	225,000	300				
USBR	IEUA - Fourth Street Regional Pipeline	\$	234,612	Ψ_	Unknown	4156				
	IEUA - Hickory Basin Project	\$	67,125		Unknown	861				
	IEUA - Interim RW/GW Recharge Basin Project	\$	22,500		Unknown	1079				
	IEUA - Interim RW/GW Recharge Pipeline	\$	22,500		Unknown					
USBR	IEUA - Pine Avenue Regional Pipeline	\$	48,205		Unknown					
	IEUA - Regional Plant No. 1 Recharge Basin	\$	95,850		Unknown					
	IEUA - Regional Plant No. 3 Recharge Basins	\$	415,725		Unknown	500				
	IEUA - Regional Plant No. 4 Recharge Basins	\$	66,399 471,450		Unknown Unknown	8200 60000				
	IEUA - RP-1/RP-4 Regional RW Pump Station IEUA - RW Pipeline to Etiwanda Power Plant	\$	49,500		Unknown					
	IEUA - Six Groundwater Monitoring Wells	\$	105,000		Unknown					
	IEUA - Turner Basin 1	\$	96,825		Unknown					
	IEUA - Turner Basins 2, 3, and 4	\$	178,500		Unknown	924				
	IEUA - Turner Basins 5, 8, and 9	\$	173,775		Unknown	618				
	IEUA - Whittram Regional Pipeline	\$	72,725		Unknown	1566				
	IEUA - Wineville Basin Project	\$	144,975		Unknown	1243				
USBR	IEUA - Wineville Regional Pipeline	\$	83,318		Unknown					
USBR	Integrated Chino Arlington Desalination System Integration of the Former Los Alisos Water District RW	\$	69,000,000 35,000		<u>Unknown</u> Unknown	16000				
	System Irvine Business Complex RW Service Expansion	\$	40,000		Unknown					
	Irvine Industrial Complex RW Service Expansion	\$	80,000		Unknown					
	Irvine Ranch WD (Grant)	Ψ.	Unknown	\$	3,900,000	2500				
USBR	Joint Seasonal Storage Facility	\$	50,000		Unknown					
USBR	Joint Transmission Main and Operational Storage Reservoir	\$	180,000		Unknown	4800				
USBR	Kiwana Pavilion Project	\$	535,000		Unknown					
	LA Forebay RW Project	\$	285,000		Unknown					
USBR	LA Zoo Water Recycling Project	\$	195,000		Unknown					
	Lake Calavera Recycled Water Reservoir	\$	100,000 Unknown	¢	Unknown	750 2000				
SWRCB USBR	Lake County SD Lake Elsinore Water Recycling Infrastructure	\$	670,000	Φ	3,680,000 Unknown					
USBR	Las Virgenes - Malibu Country Clug/Golf Course Main	φ	670,000		OHKHOWH	20000				
OODIX	Extension	\$	340,000		Unknown	500				
USBR	Las Virgenes - RW Line Extension - Thousand Oaks Blvd.	\$	100,000		Unknown					
	Las Virgenes MWD-Ph I		Unknown	\$	110,000	65				
SWRCB	Las Virgenes MWD-Ph II		Unknown	\$	1,150,000	284				
USBR	Las Virgenes -Transfer Recycled Water to Calleguas Municipal Water District (pipeline to Tierra Rejada)	\$	400,000		Unknown	5000				
USBR	Leucadia CWD		Unknown		16,684,495	1700				
	Leucadia CWD		Unknown		840,000	394				
	Long Beach WD	1	Unknown		80,000,000	10000				
	Long Beach WD	<u> </u>	Unknown		35,306,000	4000				
SWRCB	Long Beach, City of Los Angeles Co. San. Dist. (Puente Hills)	1	Unknown Unknown		3,450,000 5,000,000	3025 2640				
			Linknown	C C						

Water Recycling Costs & Benefits										
	water Recycling Costs &	Dei	IEIIIS		Con-	Planned				
Info.	Project	Р	lanning		struction	Yield				
Source		-	(\$)		(\$)	(AF/Yr)				
USBR	Los Angeles DWP		Unknown	\$	54,851,202	35000				
USBR	March AFB Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse Project	\$	270,000	Ψ	Unknown	1000				
	Marina Coast WD	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown				
	Michelson Water Reclamation Plant (MWRP) Expansion	\$	655,000		Unknown	13400				
	MNWD Phase 5 Recycled Water Distribution Expansion	\$	169,000		Unknown	1100				
	Modesto, City of	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown				
	Montebello Forebay	\$	165,000		Unknown	50000				
USBR	Moreno Valley Brineline Extension	\$	500,000		Unknown	Unknown				
USBR USBR	New Development Projects New Outfall Pipeline	\$	290,000 800,000		Unknown Unknown	6040 5500				
	Northern Recycled Water System Expansion	\$	80,000		Unknown	2000				
USBR	Nutrient Removal Evaluation	\$	150,000		Unknown	5500				
USBR	OC Regional Brine Line	\$	110,000		Unknown	Unknown				
USBR	OC Regional Brine Line - North County	\$	805,000		Unknown	Unknown				
USBR	Oceanside, Fallbrook, Camp Pendleton Integrated Reclaimed		,							
	Water System	\$	250,000		Unknown	5500				
	OCWD		Unknown	\$	5,000,000	1000				
USBR	Olive Avenue Reservoir and Booster Station	\$	10,000		Unknown					
SWRCB	Olivehurst PUD	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown				
USBR	Olivenhain MWD		Unknown		12,693,000	2800				
USBR	Olivenhain MWD		Unknown	_	2,055,000	500				
	Olivenhain MWD		Unknown		850,000	Unknown				
SWRCB	Orange Cnty WD (GAP II Newport Bch)		Unknown		4,380,000	783 3271				
SWRCB	Orange County Water District (Tustin)		Unknown Unknown		4,790,000 355,910,000	50000				
USBR USBR	Orange County WD/OCSD Orange/Santiago Area Reclaimed Water System Extension	\$	35,000	Э	Unknown	1700				
USBR	Otange/Santiago Area Reclaimed Water System Extension Otay WD	Ψ	Unknown	\$	86,110,000	9200				
SWRCB	Oxnard, City of	\$	75,000	•	Unknown	Unknown				
USBR	Padre Dam MWD	_	Unknown	\$	39,438,602	2000				
	Padre Dam MWD		Unknown		5,000,000	839				
USBR	Pendleton Golf Course and F/O Pipeline Conversion	\$	2,356,000		Unknown	5500				
USBR	Phase III, Recycled Water Master Plan	\$	150,000		Unknown	2000				
USBR	Point Loma WWTP Reclaimed Water System	\$	215,000		Unknown	560				
USBR	Pomona Recycled Water System Expansion	\$	55,000		Unknown	1000				
USBR	Port Hueneme Water Agency	_	Unknown	\$	15,309,742	3000				
USBR	Recycled Water Expansion	\$	70,000		Unknown	2200				
	Recycled Water Master Plan Update	\$	250,000		Unknown					
USBR	Redlands Reclamation Project	\$	500,000 Unknown	•	Unknown 5,000,000					
	Redlands, City of		Unknown		2,150,000	5600 425				
SWRCB	Rincon Del Diablo MWD Rincon Del Diablo MWD		Unknown		420,000	425				
USBR	Riverside Recycled Water Masterplan	\$	150,000	Ψ	Unknown					
USBR	Running Springs Recycle Project	\$		\$	2,000,000	300				
SWRCB	Sacramento RCSD	\$	75,000	Ť	Unknown	Unknown				
USBR	Salinity Management for Escondido, Hodges and San		•							
	Pasqual Subbasins	\$	100,000	L	Unknown	9700				
USBR	San Diego Regional Strategy 9	\$	9,841,000		Unknown	9900				
USBR	San Elijo JPA		Unknown	\$	16,499,511	1550				
USBR	San Fernando Road RW Trunk Line	\$	135,000	_	Unknown					
USBR	San Gabriel Basin WQA		Unknown	\$	81,639,092	30000				
USBR	San Joaquin Reservoir Conversion to Reclaimed Water	٠	40.000		l laka arr	0500				
CIVIDOD	Storage San Jose, City of	\$	40,000 Unknown	¢	Unknown 4,600,000	2500				
SWRCB USBR	San Jose, City of San Luis Rey Plant Expansion	\$	300,000	Φ	4,600,000 Unknown	600 5500				
USBR	San Pasqual Valley Water Reclamation Plant	\$	60,000		Unknown	1120				
SWRCB	San Simeon CSD	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown				
USBR	San Timoteo Management Plan- Recharge Masterplan	- *-	. 0,000		J	J.II.GIOWII				
JOBIN	Element	\$	296,000		Unknown	10000				
SWRCB	Santa Barbara, City of(Phase II)	_	Unknown	\$	5,000,000	633				

	Water Recycling Costs & Benefits										
Info. Source	Project	Planning (\$)			Con- struction (\$)	Planned Yield (AF/Yr)					
USBR	Santa Margarita River Live Stream Discharge Program	\$	675,000		Unknown	10976					
SWRCB	Santa Margarita WD	Ψ	Unknown	\$	5,300,000	2185					
USBR	Santa Maria Recycling Facility Expansion Project	\$	200,000	_	Unknown	600					
USBR	Sepulveda Constructed Wetlands	\$	307,500		Unknown	61600					
USBR	Sewer Pipeline and Manhole Rehabilitation	\$	100,000		Unknown	1000					
USBR	Simi Valley Brackish Water Treatment Facility	\$	25,000		Unknown	5000					
SWRCB	SLO, City of		Unknown	\$	3,400,000	400					
SWRCB	Sonoma Valley Co. San. District	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown					
SWRCB	South San Luis Obispo	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown					
USBR	Sweetwater Authority		Unknown	\$	32,530,000	7200					
USBR	Temecula Valley Brineline Extension	\$	550,000		Unknown	Unknown					
USBR	Temecula Valley Effluent Pipeline	\$	350,000		Unknown	5000					
USBR	Temescal Basin Groundwater Management Program Phase I,										
	II, & III	\$	685,000		Unknown	15273					
USBR	Tia Juana CWD		Unknown	\$	13,867,208	2500					
USBR	Tijuana Aquifer Groundwater Study Project	\$	800,000		Unknown	Unknown					
USBR	Turtle Rock Crest Reclaimed Water Expansion		Unknown	\$	5,000	220					
USBR	Tustin Area Reclaimed Water System Extension	\$	65,000		Unknown	540					
USBR	UCI Housing Reclaimed Water Conversion	\$	5,000		Unknown	374					
USBR	Upper San Gabriel Valley MWD		Unknown	\$	22,326,908	10000					
SWRCB	Victor Valley Reclamation Auth.	\$	75,000		Unknown	Unknown					
USBR	Water Replenishment District		Unknown	\$	26,350,000	8000					
USBR	West Basin - Palos Verdes RW Expansion	\$	150,000		Unknown	1200					
USBR	West Basin Customer Development	\$	500,000		Unknown	10000					
USBR	West Basin MWD				201,501,174	70000					
SWRCB	West Basin MWD		Unknown	\$	5,000,000	6000					
USBR	West Covina Recycled Water Project	\$	45,000		Unknown	1000					
USBR	Yucaipa Valley Regional Water Supply Renewal Project	\$	240,000		Unknown	13400					
Total Recy	rcling Projects (191 Projects)	\$	108,530,707	\$2	2,103,226,991	1,228,160					

Notes:

SWRCB Source:

This information was obtained from Office of Water Recycling, Water Reclamation Loan and Construction Programs. Included are the projects from the, 1984, 1988, 1996, and 2000 Bond Laws that were awarded state funding after 1991.

The Planned Yield (AF/Yr) provides an estimate of the amount of wastewater expected to be recycled. In most cases, this amount has not been verified. This information is considered preliminary and subject to change, since, agencies had limited time to review this tabulated information, some projects may be listed more than once.

USBR Source:

The Southern California Area Office, USBR supplied information from the Southern California Water Recycling Projects Initiative (started in 2000). These are planned projects solicited from the local agencies by USBR.